

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,353	07/27/2001	Francis Pruche	010830-119 6986	
7.	590 04/24/2003			
Norman H. Stepno, Esquire BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			BAHAR, MOJDEH	
ŕ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
A no.						
Advisory Action	09/915,353	PRUCHE ET AL.				
-	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mojdeh Bahar	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension bunt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ice later than three months after the ma CFR 1.704(b).	iling date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.				
3.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Section 2.		idered but does NOT place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-25,27-34 and 45</u> .		·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>46-47</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)  □ approved or b)  □ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		Madnowsh				
		SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER G 23				

¥ , ₹

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment raises new issues (e.g., problems under 35 USC 112 as to the precise definition of "usual pharmacetical or cosmetic additive"?).